

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 USUN N 03259 01 OF 03 232008Z
ACTION DLOS-09

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 ACDA-12 AGRE-00 AID-05 CEA-01
CEQ-01 CG-00 CIAE-00 COME-00 DODE-00 DOTE-00
EB-08 EPA-01 SOE-02 DOE-15 FMC-01 TRSE-00 H-01
INR-10 INT-05 IO-13 JUSE-00 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05
NSF-01 OES-09 OMB-01 PA-01 PM-05 SP-02 SS-15
ICA-11 AF-10 ARA-10 EA-10 EUR-12 NEA-10 OIC-02
/192 W

-----088893 232024Z /45

R 231958Z AUG 78
FM USMISSION USUN NEW YORK
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 2930
INFO AMEMBASSY LONDON

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 01 OF 03 USUN NEW YORK 03259

FROM USDEL

E.O. 11652: XGDS-1
TAGS: PLOS, UK
SUBJECT: LOS - US-UK BILATERAL CONSULTATION, AUGUST 17

1. THE US AND UK DELEGATIONS HEADED RESPECTIVELY BY
AMBASSADOR RICHARDSON AND AMBASSADOR ARCULUS, HELD AN
EXTENSIVE BILATERAL CONSULTATION ON LOS ISSUES AUGUST 17 AT
THE US MISSION TO THE UN.

2. HIGHLIGHTS OF THE DISCUSSION FOLLOW:
ORGANIZATION OF WORK OF THE RESUMED SESSION
ARCULUS REVIEWED UK INTERSESSIONAL ACTIVITY, WHICH HAD
CONSISTED MAINLY OF CONTACTS ON THE MARGIN ISSUE. THE UK
HAD ALSO CONTACTED MODERATES IN THE G-77 TO ALERT THEM THAT
THE NJENGA TEXTS NEEDED IMPROVEMENT. IN LONDON, THE MINING
COMPANIES ARE CONDUCTING A REVIEW OF SEABED
MINING TO SEE IF THE EFFORT IS WORTH PROCEEDING WITH. A
SHIPPING REVIEW IS ALSO BEING CONDUCTED BY THE GOVERNMENT
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 USUN N 03259 01 OF 03 232008Z

TO EXAMINE MEASURES TO CONTROL AND COMBAT INCIDENTS WHICH
CAUSE POLLUTION. IT HAS BEEN CONCLUDED THAT FULL
PREVENTIVE MEASURES ARE VERY EXPENSIVE.

3. RICHARDSON REPORTED ON HIS TALK EARLIER IN THE WEEK WITH
ZULETA WHO THINKS THE G-77 ARE PREPARED TO GO STRAIGHT INTO
SUBSTANCE WHEN THE CONFERENCE RESUMES WITHOUT HAVING A

GENERAL COMMITTEE MEETING. THE G-77 THINK THE NJENGA TEXT IS ACCEPTABLE, AND WILL NOT WISH IT TO BE DISCUSSED AT THE RESUMED SESSION. THEY WANT NEGOTIATING GROUP 1 (NG-1) TO DISCUSS ANNEX II. THEY ALSO AGREE THAT NG-2 SHOULD PROCEED. RICHARDSON NOTED THAT ENGO HAD WRITTEN HIM THAT NG-1 SHOULD NOT REPORT TO THE PLENARY AND ZULETA SEES THIS AS AN EFFORT TO SCUTTLE NJENGA. RICHARDSON MENTIONED ZULETA'S IDEA OF FORMING A GROUP OF COMPARATIVE LAW SCHOLARS TO DO A PAPER ON JUDICIAL REVIEW. IF IT IS SATISFACTORY, AMERASINGHE MIGHT PRESENT IT TO THE PLENARY OR HAVE STAVROPOULOS HANDLE IT. THE SAME THING MIGHT BE DONE WITH FINAL CLAUSES. RICHARDSON NOTED THAT THERE WILL BE INFORMAL MEETINGS OF THE DRAFTING COMMITTEE TO ENSURE INTERNAL CONSISTENCY OF THE TEXTS. ZULETA THOUGHT IT WOULD BE USEFUL TO HAVE THIS DONE BEFORE REVISION OF THE TEXT. THE ARABS AND SOVIETS LIKE THE IDEA, ACCORDING TO ZULETA. RICHARDSON NOTED THAT ZULETA THOUGHT THAT IF WE ACHIEVE WHAT WE HOPE IN NEW YORK, A SOLUTION TO THE QUESTION OF THE PRESIDENCY COULD BE WORKED OUT GRACEFULLY. RICHARDSON SAID THE LATINS WOULD RAISE THE ISSUE OF THE PRESIDENCY BUT IT IS NOT YET KNOWN HOW HARD. HE THEN NOTED THAT COMMITTEE THREE CHAIRMAN YANKOV PLANS TO WORK ON POLLUTION FOR THE FIRST WEEK AND MSR IN THE SECOND OR THIRD WEEK. COMMITTEE TWO CHAIRMAN AGUILAR WILL CONTINUE HIS ARTICLE-BY-ARTICLE READING OF THE COMMITTEE II TEXT. PROGRESS IN NG-6 WILL DEPEND ON HOW CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 USUN N 03259 01 OF 03 232008Z

FLEXIBLE THE USSR IS.

4. WHEN IT WAS NOTED THAT THE BRAZILIANS EXPRESSED IRRITATION IN THE G-77 WITH THE US POSITION ON THE "BRAZIL CLAUSE", RICHARDSON SAID HE HAD LEARNED THAT THOMPSON FLORES WAS LIVID ABOUT HIS RECENT DISCUSSION IN BRAZIL. THOMPSON FLORES HAD REPORTEDLY SAID THAT THE PARALLEL SYSTEM COULD GO DOWN THE DRAIN AND BRAZIL WOULD NOT STOP IT.

5. TURNING TO NG-7, RICHARDSON SAID THE SOVIETS WANT MORE WORK DONE ON COMPULSORY DISPUTE SETTLEMENT (CDS), AND THEREFORE SOHN'S SMALL GROUP MIGHT USEFULLY CONTINUE. THE US AND UK AGREED THAT NG-7 CHAIRMAN MANNER SHOULD CONTINUE THIS WORK.

6. ARCULUS SAID THAT FINAL CLAUSES WERE VERY IMPORTANT TO THE UK AND SHOULD NOT BE DEALT WITH ONLY BY EXPERTS. THE UK WOULD WANT TO ADDRESS THE MATTER AT THE GOVERNMENT LEVEL AND ALL THE G-5 SHOULD BE REPRESENTED IN ANY GROUP CONSIDERING FINAL CLAUSES.

7. DARWIN (UK) THOUGHT ANY WORK DONE IN THE DRAFTING COMMITTEE NOW WOULD BE DANGEROUS. IF SUCH WORK IS DONE BEFORE REVISION, REVISION MIGHT BE BLOCKED. HE FEARED THAT SUCH ACTIVITY MIGHT EXPOSE POINTS WHICH HAD BEEN ACQUIESCED IN, AND THAT THERE WAS A DANGER THAT TECHNICAL WORK MIGHT CALL INTO QUESTION SUBSTANTIVE MATTERS. RICHARDSON THOUGHT THE COMMITTEE MIGHT GO AHEAD, DEALING WITH INCONSISTENCIES AND SEE IF THESE RAISED SERIOUS RISK OF CALLING THE TEXT INTO QUESTION. IF THERE WERE PROBLEMS ABOUT SUBSTANCE, THEY COULD BE REFERRED TO THE APPROPRIATE COMMITTEE. HE THOUGHT THERE WOULD BE ONLY A FEW PROBLEMS IN THIS RESPECT.

8. RICHARDSON CLOSED THE DISCUSSION OF THE WORK PLAN BY
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 USUN N 03259 01 OF 03 232008Z

SAYING THAT IT WOULD BE NECESSARY TO CLARIFY THE ROLE OF

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 USUN N 03259 02 OF 03 232010Z
ACTION DLOS-09

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 ACDA-12 AGRE-00 AID-05 CEA-01
CEQ-01 CG-00 CIAE-00 COME-00 DODE-00 DOTE-00
EB-08 EPA-01 SOE-02 DOE-15 FMC-01 TRSE-00 H-01
INR-10 INT-05 IO-13 JUSE-00 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05
NSF-01 OES-09 OMB-01 PA-01 PM-05 SP-02 SS-15
ICA-11 AF-10 ARA-10 EA-10 EUR-12 NEA-10 OIC-02
/192 W

-----088922 232026Z /45

R 231958Z AUG 78
FM USMISSION USUN NEW YORK
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 2931
INFO AMEMBASSY LONDON

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 02 OF 03 USUN NEW YORK 03259

FROM USDEL

NG-3.

9. SEABEDS

REGARDING NG-7, ARCULUS EXPRESSED DOUBT ABOUT PROGRESS ON THE NJENGA TEXTS AT THIS SESSION, THE ICNT, AND THE ENTIRE TREND OF THE NEGOTIATIONS. INSTEAD OF REOPENING THE NJENGA TEXTS AT THIS SESSION, HOWEVER, HE THOUGHT IT WOULD BE POSSIBLE, AS NJENGA HAD RECENTLY STATED TO THE UK BILATERALLY, FOR AMENDMENTS TO BE PROPOSED TO NJENGA IN NEW YORK IN AN INFORMAL WAY. THIS WOULD REPRESENT A MIDDLE GROUND BETWEEN REOPENING THE TEXT AND MERELY MAKING A STATEMENT OF OBJECTION FOR THE RECORD.

10. THE MOST SERIOUS DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE US AND UK DELEGATIONS WAS ON THE SUBJECT OF PRODUCTION LIMITATION. THE UK OBJECTS BOTH TO THE FIGURE FOR THE LIMITATION AND TO THE WAY WHICH THAT FIGURE IS CALCULATED. OTHER UK OBJECTIONS TO PRODUCTION LIMITATION ARE: THERE IS NO CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 USUN N 03259 02 OF 03 232010Z

PROVISION FOR INCREASING THE PRODUCTION CEILING; THE CEILING ENCOURAGES A RUSH AT THE ONSET OF THE SYSTEM; AND THE PRODUCTION LIMITATION IS LIKELY TO "STRANGLE" PRODUCTION. IT WAS AGREED THAT THE UK AND THE US WOULD MEET BILATERALLY AT THE EXPERT LEVEL ON PRODUCTION LIMITATION IN THE WEEK BEGINNING AUGUST 21. SOMETIME AFTER THIS MEETING, THE CANADIAN EXPERTS WOULD BE BROUGHT INTO THE CONSULTATIONS.

11. WITH FURTHER REGARD TO PRODUCTION LIMITATION, ARCULUS MADE THE POINT THAT IF THERE IS A CHANGE OF GOVERNMENT IN BRITAIN, THAT NEW GOVERNMENT WOULD BE MORE HOSTILE TO PRODUCTION LIMITATION THAN THE PRESENT LABOR GOVERNMENT. RICHARDSON STATED THAT THE US GOVERNMENT HAS NOT YET MADE UP ITS MIND ON THE PRODUCTION LIMITATION AGREEMENT AND THAT IT WILL REMAIN AD REFERENDUM THROUGH THE NEW YORK SESSION.

12. ON OTHER SEABEDS SUBJECTS, THE TWO DELEGATIONS WERE BASICALLY IN ACCORD. ONE EXCEPTION TO THIS IS THAT THE UK SEEMS MORE CONCERNED ABOUT THE GENERAL LANGUAGE OF ARTICLE 150 (A) THROUGH (F). ANOTHER POSSIBLE EXCEPTION CONCERNS THE MANDATORY TRANSFER OF TECHNOLOGY, WHICH THE US INDICATED MUST TAKE PLACE IN SOME LIMITED FORM WHILE THE BRITISH REFRAINED FROM COMMENT.

13. ARCULUS STATED THAT UK GOVERNMENT HAD NOT PRESENTED DEEP SEA MINING LEGISLATION SO FAR BUT CONTINUED TO STUDY US AND OTHER TEXTS AND IMPLIED THAT DRAFTING WAS FAIRLY WELL ADVANCED. UK DELEGATION APPEARED TO ACCEPT LIKELIHOOD OF US LEGISLATION AS FACT OF LIFE WHICH WAS A CHANGE FROM THE OPPOSITION EXPRESSED IN GENEVA. CHANCES

OF UK LEGISLATION WILL BE AUGMENTED IF THERE IS A CHANGE OF
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 USUN N 03259 02 OF 03 232010Z

GOVERNMENT.

14. COMMITTEE II

UK PLANS REGARDING 12-MILE TERRITORIAL SEA:

ARCULUS INDICATED THAT AN EXTENSION OF THE UK TERRITORIAL
SEA TO 12 MILES IS NOT RPT NOT PART OF THE PRESENT PACKAGE
OF ACTIONS THAT THE UK IS TAKING REGARDING MARINE POLLUTION.
(NONE OF THE ACTIONS ARE APPARENTLY OF VERY GREAT MOMENT.)
HE INDICATED, HOWEVER, THAT THE US HAD NOT HEARD THE LAST
OF THIS MATTER BECAUSE A 12-MILE TERRITORIAL SEA
MAY BE APPEALING TO MINISTERS, ESPECIALLY AS FRANCE HAS
ALREADY DECLARED ONE. HE POINTEDLY ASKED WHETHER THE US
WAS CONCERNED ABOUT AN EXTENSION PRIMARILY BEFORE
SIGNATURE OF AN LOS TREATY. THE US SIDE INDICATED THAT WAS
A CONCERN BUT STRESSED THE RELATED QUESTION OF
TRANSIT THROUGH STRAITS, WHETHER IN PRE-SIGNATURE PERIOD OR
POST-SIGNATURE PERIOD. THE US ALSO NOTED THAT THIS QUES-
TION WAS BOUND UP IN THE QUESTION OF PROVISIONAL
APPLICATION OF THE TREATY. ARCULUS INDICATED THAT THE UK
WAS STUDYING THE IMPACT ON STRAITS OF ANY EXTENSION TO 12
MILES.

15. CONTINENTIAL MARGIN

ARCULUS INDICATED THAT BRITISH INSTRUCTIONS
REQUIRE THAT NO CONCESSIONS BE MADE ON THE
MARGIN ISSUE. HE APPRECIATED THE WORK THE US WAS
DOING ON THE SHELF AND INDICATED THAT THEY HAD FOCUSED
MUCH OF THEIR ATTENTION ON THE ARABS AND AFRICANS AND ON
WIDE-MARGIN STATES. HE INDICATED THAT QATAR AND MOROCCO
WERE STICKING HARD TO THE ARAB 200-MILE THESIS. OMAN HAD
MISUNDERSTOOD THE IRISH FORMULA, AND IT DOES HAVE A BROAD
MARGIN. KUWAIT, JORDAN, AND SENEGAL ARE ALL SYMPATHETIC;
SUDAN AND EGYPT ARE WORTH FURTHER ATTENTION; BAHRAIN WOULD
FOLLOW THE OTHER ARABS; TUNISIA IS A POSSIBLE SUPPORTER
OF THE IRISH FORMULA. HE INDICATED AS WELL THAT NIGERIA,
WHILE SUPPORTING 200 MILES, WILL CONSIDER THE
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 USUN N 03259 02 OF 03 232010Z

IRISH FORMULA. HE SAID THAT KENYA, CHILE, PERU, AND
URUGUAY SUPPORTED THE IRISH FORMULA; COLOMBIA WAS
CONSIDERING IT. JAPAN COULD SUPPORT THE HEDBURG FORMULA.

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 USUN N 03259 03 OF 03 232012Z
ACTION DLOS-09

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 ACDA-12 AGRE-00 AID-05 CEA-01
CEQ-01 CG-00 CIAE-00 COME-00 DODE-00 DOTE-00
EB-08 EPA-01 SOE-02 DOE-15 FMC-01 TRSE-00 H-01
INR-10 INT-05 IO-13 JUSE-00 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05
NSF-01 OES-09 OMB-01 PA-01 PM-05 SP-02 SS-15
ICA-11 AF-10 ARA-10 EA-10 EUR-12 NEA-10 OIC-02
/192 W

-----088934 232027Z /45

R 231958Z AUG 78
FM USMISSION USUN NEW YORK
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 2932
INFO AMEMBASSY LONDON

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 03 OF 03 USUN NEW YORK 03259

FROM USDEL

THERE FOLLOWED A DISCUSSION OF WHETHER THE ARABS
WOULD WITHDRAW THEIR OPPOSITION TO THE IRISH FORMULA IF THE
USSR WITHDREW ITS OPPOSITION AND, CONVERSELY, WHETHER THE
SOVIETS WOULD CHANGE THEIR POSITION AS THE ARABS
AND SOME AFRICANS CEASED THEIR OPPOSITION. IT WAS
GENERALLY AGREED, HOWEVER, THAT KOZYREV (USSR) MUST BE
CONVINCED THAT HE IS NOT WINNING. CONSEQUENTLY, THE US AND
UK MUST TRY TO DEVELOP FURTHER SUPPORT FOR THE IRISH
FORMULA. IT WAS AGREED THAT THE TEMPER OF THE
CONFERENCE WAS TO GO ALONG WITH THE IRISH FORMULA IF
THE SOVIETS WOULD GO ALONG. THE US SIDE WAS NOT
OPTIMISTIC THAT THE SOVIET SIDE WAS LIKELY TO MOVE AT THIS
SESSION. THE UK SUGGESTED THAT DEFENSE EXPERTS MAY TALK
ABOUT THE BITS OF EVIDENCE OF SOVIET INTENTIONS
REGARDING THE SHELF AND IN THIS REGARD NOTED THAT MOD
OFFICIALS WOULD BE IN NEW YORK WITHIN A WEEK OR TEN DAYS.

16. EXCLUSIVE ECONOMIC ZONE (EEZ)
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 USUN N 03259 03 OF 03 232012Z

IT WAS AGREED TO DISCUSS THIS ISSUE AT A LATER DATE.

ARCULUS THOUGHT THAT MAKING INTERPRETIVE STATEMENTS REGARDING THE EEZ MAY ENCOURAGE OTHERS TO MAKE COUNTER-INTERPRETIVE STATEMENTS BUT HE ADMITTED THAT THIS DANGER MIGHT BE MINIMIZED IF ENOUGH STATES WERE LINED UP. THE US SIDE AGREED THAT THIS WOULD HAVE TO BE SET UP VERY CAREFULLY.

17. LAND-LOCKED AND GEOGRAPHICALLY DISADVANTAGED STATES (LL-GDS)

THE US SIDE INDICATED THAT THIS ISSUE WAS READY TO FALL INTO PLACE WITH THE EASTERN EUROPEAN FIGHTING A REAR GUARD ACTION. THE UK DEMURRED.

18. COMMITTEE III

THERE WAS AGREEMENT THAT POLLUTION ARTICLES LISTED AS CATEGORY TWO IN THE GENEVA REPORT SHOULD BE INCORPORATED INTO ANY REVISION OF THE ICNT IN THE SAME MANNER AS ARTICLES LISTED IN CATEGORY ONE. THE UK SAID IT HAD NO SUBSTANTIVE OBJECTION ON MARINE SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH BUT HAD SOME DRAFTING SUGGESTIONS. MOST OF THE DISCUSSION RELATED TO TACTICS.

19. SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTES

RICHARDSON EXPLAINED THE ZULETA PROPOSAL FOR THE CONVENING OF A GROUP OF COMPARATIVE LAW SCHOLARS WHICH WOULD PREPARE AN ANALYSIS OF ISSUES RELATING TO THE SETTLEMENT OF SEABED DISPUTES. ITS REPORT WOULD BE THEN DISCUSSED EITHER BY THE INFORMAL PLENARY (UNDER AMERASINGHE) OR BY A RECONVENED NG-5 (UNDER STAVROPOULOS).

20. RICHARDSON ALSO NOTED THAT THE SOVIET UNION WILL WANT NG-7 TO CONTINUE ITS WORK ON THE CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 USUN N 03259 03 OF 03 232012Z

SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTES RELATING TO THE DELIMITATION OF MARITIME BOUNDARIES. HE MENTIONED THAT IN HIS DISCUSSIONS WITH VENEZUELAN OFFICIALS HE SUGGESTED THAT DIFFICULTIES RELATING TO A DEFINITION OF PAST BOUNDARY DISPUTES, WHICH WOULD BE EXEMPT FROM ADJUDICATION, MIGHT BE OBIATED BY ALLOWING A STATE TO REGISTER A LIST OF SUCH DISPUTES AT THE TIME OF ITS RATIFICATION OF THE CONVENTION.

21. DARWIN (UK) THOUGHT THAT A REFERENCE TO "PAST DISPUTES" IS BETTER THAN A LIST. HE POINTED OUT THAT THE MEDIAN LINE GROUP MIGHT WISH TO KEEP THE NEGOTIATIONS ON THE BASIC RULE AND DISPUTE SETTLEMENT TOGETHER, AND MIGHT OPPOSE SEPARATE NEGOTIATIONS ON DISPUTE SETTLEMENT.

22. DURING THE DISCUSSION OF SEABED ISSUES, AMBASSADOR RICHARDSON MADE CLEAR THAT THE UNITED

STATES CONSIDERS AS AN IMPORTANT ISSUE THE NEED
TO REVISE ARTICLE 191 TO ENSURE THAT ABUSE OF DISCRETION
AND EXCESS OF POWER WILL BE SUBJECT TO ADJUDICATION.
YOUNG

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 jan 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: LAW OF THE SEA, CONSULTATIONS (UN)
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 23 aug 1978
Decaption Date: 01 jan 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 20 Mar 2014
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1978USUNN03259
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: X1
Errors: N/A
Expiration:
Film Number: D780345-0526
Format: TEL
From: USUN NEW YORK
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1978/newtext/t19780830/aaaaazds.tel
Line Count: 390
Litigation Code IDs:
Litigation Codes:
Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Message ID: c727a45c-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Office: ACTION DLOS
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 8
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: n/a
Retention: 0
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 30 jun 2005
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review Media Identifier:
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
SAS ID: 1641485
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: LOS - US-UK BILATERAL CONSULTATION, AUGUST 17
TAGS: PLOS, UK, US, LOS
To: STATE
Type: TE
vdkgvwkey: odbc://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/c727a45c-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Review Markings:
Sheryl P. Walter
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
20 Mar 2014
Markings: Sheryl P. Walter Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 20 Mar 2014